

Gravitational wave Oscillation in bi-metric gravity

Takahiro Tanaka (YITP) with Antonio De Felice and Takashi Nakamura arXiv:1304.3920 partly work with Yasuho Yamashita Gravitation waves will be detected soon!!!







eLISA(NGO) ⇒DECIGO/BBO

LIGO⇒adv LIGO ₂

GW generation is almost confirmed



(J.M. Weisberg, Nice and J.H. Taylor, arXiv:1011.0718)

We know that GWs are emitted from binaries.

What is the possible big surprise when we directly detect GWs?

Is there possibility that graviton disappears during its propagation over cosmological distance?

Braneworld



Infinite extra-dimension RS-II model, DGP model

Modification of GW propagation is small even if sources are placed at cosmological distances.

Chern-Simons Modified Gravity

$$S \supset \frac{\alpha}{4} \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \,\theta \,\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R_{\mu\nu}^{\ \alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma}$$

Right-handed and left-handed gravitational waves are magnified differently during propagation, depending on frequencies.

However, the effect is large only in the strong coupling regime, outside the validity range of EFT.

Massive gravity

$$\Box h_{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad \blacksquare \quad (\Box - m^2) h_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

Just adding mass to graviton seems theoretically inconsistent \rightarrow ghost, instability, etc.

$$\implies Bi-gravity$$

$$\frac{L}{M_G^2} = \frac{\sqrt{-gR}}{16\pi} + \frac{\sqrt{-\tilde{g}\tilde{R}}}{16\pi\kappa} + \frac{L_{matter}(g,\phi)}{M_G^2} + \cdots$$

Both massive and massless gravitons exist. $\rightarrow v$ oscillation-like phenomena?

First question is whether or not we can construct a viable cosmological model.

Ghost free bi-gravity

$$\begin{split} \frac{L}{M_G^2} &= \frac{\sqrt{-g}R}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{-\tilde{g}}\tilde{R}}{2\kappa} + \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{2}\sum_{n=0}^4 c_n V_n + \frac{L_{matter}}{M_G^2}\\ V_0 &= 1, \ V_1 = \tau_1, V_2 = \tau_1^2 - \tau_2, \ \cdots\\ \tau_n &= Tr[\gamma^n] \ \gamma_i^i \equiv \sqrt{g^{ik}\tilde{g}_{kj}} \end{split}$$

When \tilde{g} is fixed, de Rham-Gabadadze-Tolley massive gravity.

Even if \tilde{g} is promoted to a dynamical field, the model remains to be free from ghost.

(Hassan, Rosen (2012))

FLRW background

(Comelli, Crisostomi, Nesti, Pilo (2012))

Generic homogeneous isotropic metrics

$$ds^{2} = \underline{a^{2}(t)}\left(-dt^{2} + dx^{2}\right)$$

$$d\tilde{s}^{2} = \underline{b^{2}(t)}\left(-\underline{c^{2}(t)}dt^{2} + dx^{2}\right)$$

$$\xi \equiv b/a$$

$$\xi \equiv b/a$$

$$\left(\frac{6c_{3}\xi^{2} + 4c_{2}\xi + c_{1}}{branch 2}\right) = 0$$

branch 1
branch 2

branch 1: Pathological:

Strong coupling Unstable for the homogeneous anisotropic mode.

branch 2: Healthy

Branch 2 background

A very simple relation holds:

$$\frac{\rho}{M_G^2} + f - \tilde{f} / \kappa \xi^2 = 0 \qquad f (\log \xi) \coloneqq c_0 + 3c_1 \xi + 6c_2 \xi^2 + 6c_3 \xi^3$$
$$\tilde{f} (\log \xi) \coloneqq c_1 \xi + 6c_2 \xi^2 + 18c_3 \xi^3 + 24c_4 \xi^4$$

 $\xi \equiv b/a$ is algebraically determined as a function of ρ .



Branch 2 background

We expand with respect to $\delta\xi = \xi - \xi_c$. $H^2 = \frac{\rho}{3M_G^2} + \frac{f}{3} \longrightarrow H^2 = \frac{\rho}{3(1 + \kappa\xi_c^2)M_G^2}$ effective energy density due to mass term
Effective gravitational coupling is weaker because of the dilution to the hidden sector.

$$\frac{1}{c-1}\frac{\xi'}{\xi} = \frac{a'}{a} \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad c-1 = \frac{3(\rho+P)}{\mu^2 M_G^2}$$
Effective graviton $\mu^2 = \left(1 + \frac{1}{\kappa \xi_c^2}\right) f_c'$
mass

natural tuning to coincident light cones (c=1) at low energies ($\rho \rightarrow 0$)!

Solar system constraint: basics

vDVZ discontinuity

In GR, this coefficient is 1/2current bound <10⁻⁵ $\delta g_{\mu\nu} \propto \Box^{-1} \left(T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{3} g_{\mu\nu} T \right)$

To cure this discontinuity

we go beyond the linear perturbation (Vainshtein) Schematically Correction to the Newton potential Φ

$$\Delta \delta \Phi + \mu^{-2} (\partial \partial \delta \Phi)^2 = G_N \rho$$

$$\implies \frac{\delta \Phi}{\Phi} \approx \frac{\mu r^2 \sqrt{G_N \rho}}{r^2 G_N \rho} \approx \mu \sqrt{\frac{r^3}{r_g^3}}$$

 $10^{-10} \ge \mu \sqrt{(10^{13} cm)^3/(10^5 cm)} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \mu^{-1} \ge 300 Mpc$

<u>Gravitational potential around a star in the limit $c \rightarrow 1$ </u>

Spherically symmetric static configuration:

$$ds^{2} = -e^{u-v}dt^{2} + e^{u+v}\left(dr^{2} + r^{2}d\Omega^{2}\right)$$

$$d\widetilde{s}^{2} = \xi_{c}^{2}\left[-e^{\widetilde{u}-\widetilde{v}}dt^{2} + e^{\widetilde{u}+\widetilde{v}}\left(d\widetilde{r}^{2} + \widetilde{r}^{2}d\Omega^{2}\right)\right] \qquad \widetilde{r} = e^{R}r$$

Erasing $\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v}$ and R,

$$(\Delta - \mu^2)u - \frac{C}{\mu^2} ((\Delta u)^2 - (\partial_i \partial_j u)^2) \approx \frac{\rho_m}{M_G^2}$$

 $C \propto f_c''$, which can be tuned to be extremely large.

Then, the Vainshtein radius $r_V \approx \left(\frac{Cr_g}{\mu^2}\right)^{1/3}$

can be made very large, even if $\mu^{-1} << 300 {\rm Mpc}$.

Solar system constraint: $\sqrt{C}\mu^{-1} \ge 300 \text{Mpc}$

$$\Delta v \approx \frac{\rho_m}{\tilde{M}_G^2}$$
 v is excited as in GR. $H^2 = \frac{\rho}{3\tilde{M}_G^2}$

Excitation of the metric perturbation on the hidden sector:

Erasing *u*, *v* and *R*

$$(\Delta - \mu^2)\widetilde{u} - \frac{\widetilde{C}}{\mu^2} \left((\Delta \widetilde{u})^2 - (\partial_i \partial_j \widetilde{u})^2 \right) \approx \frac{\rho_m}{M_G^2}$$
$$\Delta \widetilde{v} \approx \frac{\rho_m}{\widetilde{M}_G^2}$$

- \tilde{u} is also suppressed like u. \tilde{v} is also excited like v.
- The metric perturbations are almost conformally related with each other: $d\tilde{s}^2 \approx \xi_c^2 ds^2$

Non-linear terms of \tilde{u} (or equivalently u) play the role of the source of gravity.

Why do we have this attractor behavior, $c \rightarrow 1$ and $\xi \rightarrow \xi_c$, at low energies?



KK graviton mass spectrum \approx $\frac{1/d^2}{h}$ $\frac{h_1}{h_0}$ \tilde{h} potential wells due to induced gravity terms

 $d \rightarrow 0$ \longrightarrow Only first two modes remain at low energy $d \widetilde{s}^2 = \xi_c^2 ds^2$ \longrightarrow identical light cone c = 1

Gravitational wave propagation

Short wavelength approximation :

$$\begin{split} k >> m_g >> H \\ h'' - \Delta h + m_g^2 \left(h - \widetilde{h} \right) &= 0 \\ \widetilde{h}'' - \underline{c^2 \Delta \widetilde{h}} - \frac{c m_g^2}{\kappa \xi_c^2} \left(h - \widetilde{h} \right) &= 0 \end{split}$$

$$m_g^2 = \frac{f'}{3} + \frac{(c-1)}{6} (f'' - f')$$

(Comelli, Crisostomi, Pilo (2012))

$$\mu^2 \coloneqq m_g^2 \frac{1 + \kappa \xi^2}{\kappa \xi^2}$$

$$k_c \coloneqq \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2(c-1)}}$$

mass term is important.

Eigenmodes are

$$h+\widetilde{h}, \quad \kappa\xi_c^2h-\widetilde{h}$$

modified dispersion relation due to the effect of mass C ≠1 is important. Eigenmodes are

$$h, \ \widetilde{h}$$

modified dispersion relation due to different light cone

At the GW generation, both h and \tilde{h} are equally excited.



We can detect only *h*.

Only modes with $k \sim k_c$ picks up the non-trivial dispersion relation of the second mode.

Interference between two modes. Graviton oscillations

If the effect appears ubiquitously, such models would be already ruled out by other observations.

<u>Summary</u>

Gravitational wave observations open up a new window for modified gravity.

Even graviton oscillations are not immediately denied, and hence we may find something similar to the case of solar neutrino experiment in near future.

Although space GW antenna is advantageous for the gravity test in many respects, we should be able to find more that can be tested by KAGRA.

Solar system constraint

Ordinary Vainshtein mechanism is not good enough! $G_{\mu\nu} = M_G^{-2} \left(T_{\mu\nu} + T_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{int})} \right)$

Ordinary Vainshtain mechanism tells that $T_{\mu\nu}^{(int)}$ can be simply neglected on small length scales for $T_{\mu\nu}^{(int)} \rightarrow 0$. Then, however,

"local effective gravitational coupling M_G^2 " \neq "cosmological one $(1 + \kappa \xi_c^2) M_G^2$ "

Here, we do not send $T^{(\text{int})}_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow 0$, but we only tune the graviton mass to be small: $\mu^2 << c_i$

$$\stackrel{}{\longmapsto} \quad h_{\mu\nu} \approx \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu} \\ \text{"local effective gravitational coupling"} = \left(1 + \kappa \xi_c^2\right) M_G^2$$

Vainshtein a la brane

In the DGP two-brane model stabilized at a small brane separation, this Vainshtein mechanism can be easily understood.



Nearly identical metrics tightly stabilized brane separation

Gravitational wave propagation over a long distance D

Phase shift due to the modified dispersion relation:

$$\delta \Phi_{1,2} \equiv -\frac{\Delta k^2}{2\omega} D = \frac{\mu D \sqrt{c-1}}{2\sqrt{2x}} \left(1 + x \mp \sqrt{1 + 2x \frac{1 - \kappa \xi^2}{1 + \kappa \xi^2} + x^2} \right)$$
$$\mu D \sqrt{c-1} \approx H D \sqrt{3(1 + \kappa \xi_c^2)} \Omega_0$$
becomes O(1) after propagation over the horizon distance



Gravitational wave oscillations

1) At the time of generation of GWs from coalescing binaries, both h and \tilde{h} are equally excited.

2) When we detect GWs, we sense h only.





Graviton oscillations occur only around the frequency

$$\omega_{GW} \approx \frac{\mu^2}{\sqrt{6(1+\kappa\xi_c^2)\Omega_0}H} = 100 \text{Hz} \left(\frac{1+\kappa\xi_c^2}{100}\right)^{-1/2} \left(\frac{\mu}{(0.08\,pc)^{-1}}\right)^4 \quad \bigstar \ x \approx 1$$

Phase shift is as small as $HD\sqrt{3(1+\kappa\xi_c^2)\Omega_0}$?

No, *x* << 1 when the GWs are propagating the inter-galactic low density region.



Induced gravity on the brane

Dvali-Gabadadze-Porrati model (2000)

$$S = M_5^3 \int d^5 x \sqrt{g} R + \int d^4 x \sqrt{g^{(4)}} \left(M_4^2 R^{(4)} + L_{matt} \right)$$

 $M_5^3 = M_4^2 / 2r_c$

Critical length scale



$$\frac{M_5^3}{r}:\frac{M_4^2}{r^2}=\frac{1}{rr_c}:\frac{1}{r^2}$$

- For *r*<*r_c*, 4-D induced gravity term dominates?
- Extension is infinite, but 4-D GR seems to be recovered for $r < r_c$.

very different from the other braneworld models Gravitons are trapped to the brane but not completely. 5D scalar toy model:

$$\begin{bmatrix} M_{5}^{3} \Box + \delta(y) M_{4}^{2} \Box^{(4)} \end{bmatrix} \phi = \delta(y) J$$
Source term
$$\int \phi = \tilde{\phi}(y) e^{ip_{\mu}x^{\mu}}$$

$$M_{5}^{3}(p^{2} - \partial_{y}^{2}) \tilde{\phi} + \delta(y) M_{4}^{2} p^{2} \tilde{\phi} = \delta(y) \tilde{J}$$

$$\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} dy (\text{equation})$$

$$-2M_{5}^{3} \partial_{y} \tilde{\phi} + M_{4}^{2} p^{2} \tilde{\phi} = -\tilde{J}$$
Solution in the bulk is given by
$$\tilde{\phi} = \tilde{\phi}_{0} e^{-py}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2M_{5}^{3} p + M_{4}^{2} p^{2} \end{bmatrix} \tilde{\phi} = -\tilde{J}$$

$$\tilde{\phi} \propto \frac{\tilde{J}}{p + r_{c} p^{2}}$$

$$\widetilde{\phi} \propto rac{\widetilde{J}}{p+r_c p^2}$$

Static pointlike source on the brane

$$\widetilde{J} \propto \int dt \, e^{-i\omega t} \int d^3x \, e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} \delta(x) \propto \delta(\omega)$$

large scale (small k) $\phi \propto \int d^3k \ e^{ikr} \frac{1}{k} \propto \frac{1}{r^2}$ five dimensional behavior

small scale (small k) $\phi \propto \int d^3k \ e^{ikr} \frac{1}{k^2} \propto \frac{1}{r}$

four dimensional behavior

After propagation over cosmological distance, GWs may escape into the bulk?



Chern-Simons Modified Gravity $S \supset \frac{\alpha}{4} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \, \theta^* R R$

Right-handed and left-handed gravitational waves are magnified differently during propagation, depending on the frequencies.

$$\boldsymbol{h}_{\text{obs}}^{(L,R)} \approx \boldsymbol{h}^{(L,R)} \sqrt{1 \pm \omega \alpha \dot{\theta}} \Big|_{\text{emit}}$$

$$\boldsymbol{h}^{(L,R)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\boldsymbol{h}^{(+)} + i \boldsymbol{h}^{(\times)} \right)$$

Current constraint on the evolution of the background scalar field θ : $\left| \alpha \dot{\theta} \right| < (10^{6} \text{Hz})^{-1}$: J0737-3039(double pulsar) (Ali-Haimoud, (2011))

Moreover, $|\omega \alpha \dot{\theta}| \approx 1$ modes are in the strong coupling regime. outside the validity range of EFT.

Ghost free bi-gravity

When \tilde{g} is fixed, de Rham-Gabadadze-Tolley massive gravity.

$$L = \frac{\sqrt{-gR}}{16\pi G_N} + \sqrt{-g} \sum_{n=0}^{4} c_n V_n + L_{matter}$$

$$V_0 = 1, \ V_1 = \tau_1, V_2 = \tau_1^2 - \tau_2, \ \dots \ \tau_n \equiv Tr[\gamma^n] \ \gamma_j^i \equiv \sqrt{g^{ik} \widetilde{g}_{kj}}$$

No gauge degrees of freedom, but by introducing a field $g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow g_{\mu\nu} + 2\pi_{;\mu\nu} + \cdots$ $\begin{cases} \pi \rightarrow \pi - \Lambda \\ g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow g_{\mu\nu} + 2\Lambda_{;\mu\nu} \end{cases}$ becomes a gauge symmetry.

Fixing the gauge by $\pi = 0 \Rightarrow$ original theory Imposing condition on $g_{\mu\nu} \Rightarrow \pi$ becomes dynamical Setting $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$, we consider flat metric + π perturbation:

$$g_{\mu\nu} \to \eta_{\mu\nu} + 2\pi_{;\mu\nu} + \pi_{;\mu\rho} \pi^{;\rho}_{;\nu}$$
$$\gamma^{\mu}_{\ \nu} = \sqrt{\eta^{\mu\nu} g_{\rho\nu}} = \delta^{\mu}_{\ \nu} + \pi^{;\mu}_{;\nu}$$

If $L \supset \pi^{,\mu}_{;\nu} \pi^{,\nu}_{;\mu}$, its variation gives higher derivative terms.

To avoid higher derivatives of π in the EOM,

$$\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\xi\zeta}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\xi\zeta}\gamma^{\mu}_{\ \alpha}\gamma^{\nu}_{\ \beta}, \quad \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\zeta}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\zeta}\gamma^{\mu}_{\ \alpha}\gamma^{\nu}_{\ \beta}\gamma^{\rho}_{\ \gamma}, \\ \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\gamma^{\mu}_{\ \alpha}\gamma^{\nu}_{\ \beta}\gamma^{\rho}_{\ \gamma}\gamma^{\sigma}_{\ \delta},$$

$$V_0 = 1, \ V_1 = \tau_1, V_2 = \tau_1^2 - \tau_2, \ \cdots$$

$$\tau_n \equiv Tr[\gamma^n] \quad \gamma^i_j \equiv \sqrt{g^{ik} \widetilde{g}_{kj}}$$

In other words:

- 10 (metric components) -4 (constraints) = 6
 - Since massive spin 2 field has 5 components, one scalar remains, which becomes a ghost (kinetic term with wrong sign).
- If constraints do not completely fix the Lagrange multipliers, $g_{0\mu}$, their consistency relation gives an additional condition. As a result, the residual scalar degree of freedom disappears.

(Hassan, Rosen (2011))



Now \widetilde{g} is promoted to a dynamical field. Even in this case, it was shown that the model remains to be free from ghost.

(Hassan, Rosen (2012))

FLRW background

(Comelli, Crisostomi, Nesti, Pilo (2012))

$$ds^{2} = a^{2}(t)(-dt^{2} + dx^{2})$$

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{(mass)} = 2\frac{\delta S^{(mass)}}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}$$

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{(mass)} = 2\frac{\delta S^{(mass)}}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}$$

$$\xi \equiv b/a$$

$$\nabla^{\mu}T_{\mu\nu}^{(mass)} = 0 \implies (\frac{6c_{3}\xi^{2} + 4c_{2}\xi + c_{1}}{branch 1})(\frac{cba' - ab'}{branch 2}) = 0$$
branch 1:

Pathological: At the linear perturbation, expected scalar and vector perturbations are absent. Strong coupling? Unstable for the homogeneous anisotropic mode.

branch 2: Healthy: All perturbation modes are equipped.

Branch 2 background

$$\begin{pmatrix}
(6c_3\xi^2 + 4c_2\xi + c_1)(cba' - ab') = 0 & \xi \equiv b/a \\
branch 1 & branch 2
\end{pmatrix} = 0 & \xi \equiv b/a$$
branch 2:

$$\rho - \frac{c_1}{\kappa\xi} + \left(c_0 - \frac{6c_2}{\kappa}\right) + \left(3c_1 - \frac{18c_3}{\kappa}\right)\xi + \left(6c_2 - \frac{24c_4}{\kappa}\right)\xi^2 + 6c_3\xi^3 = 0$$

$$\xi \text{ becomes a function of } \rho, \quad \xi \to \xi_c \text{ for } \rho \to 0.$$

$$H^2 = \frac{\rho + \rho_{mass}}{3M_G^2} \quad \rho_{mass} \coloneqq c_0 + 3c_1\xi + 6c_2\xi^2 + 6c_3\xi^3$$
effective energy density due to mass term

$$\frac{1}{c-1}\frac{\xi'}{\xi} = \frac{a'}{a} \implies c-1 = \frac{3(\rho + P)\kappa\xi_c}{\Gamma_c(1 + \kappa\xi_c^2)M_G^2} \qquad \Gamma = \frac{d\rho_{mass}}{d\xi}$$
Natural Tuning to $c=1$ for $\rho \to 0$.

 $H^{2} = \frac{\rho}{3(1 + \kappa \xi_{c}^{2})M_{G}^{2}}$ Effective gravitational coupling is weaker because of the dilution to the hidden sector.

Why do we have this attractor behavior, $c \rightarrow 1$ and $\xi \rightarrow \xi_c$, at low energies?





Higher dimensional model?! Matter on right brane couples to *h*.

If the internal space is stabilized

$$\implies d\widetilde{s}^2 = \xi_c^2 ds^2 \implies c = 1$$

KK graviton spectrum Only first two modes remain at low energy

Solar system constraint: basics

vDVZ discontinuity

In GR, this coefficient is 1/2

current bound <10⁻⁵

To cure this discontinuity

• Make the extra helicity 0 mode massive

 $\delta g_{\mu\nu} \propto \Box^{-1} \left(T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{3} g_{\mu\nu} T \right)$

$$\mu^{-1} \ge 0.1 \mathrm{mm}$$
 (Chameleon)

• Go beyond the linear perturbation (Vainshtein) Schematically

$$\Delta \delta \Phi + \mu^{-2} (\partial \partial \delta \Phi)^2 = G_N \rho$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta \Phi / \Phi \approx \mu \sqrt{G_N \rho} r^2 / (r_g / r) \approx \mu \sqrt{r^3 / r_g}$$

$$10^{-10} \ge \mu \sqrt{(10^{13} cm)^3 / (10^5 cm)} \Rightarrow \mu^{-1} \ge 300 Mpc$$

<u>Gravitational potential around a star in the limit $c \rightarrow 1$ </u>

Spherically symmetric static configuration:

$$ds^{2} = -e^{u-v}dt^{2} + e^{u+v}\left(dr^{2} + r^{2}d\Omega^{2}\right)$$

$$d\widetilde{s}^{2} = \xi_{c}^{2}\left[-e^{\widetilde{u}-\widetilde{v}}dt^{2} + e^{\widetilde{u}+\widetilde{v}}\left(d\widetilde{r}^{2} + \widetilde{r}^{2}d\Omega^{2}\right)\right] \qquad \widetilde{r} = e^{R}r$$

Erasing $\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v}$ and R,

$$(\Delta - \mu^2)u - \frac{C}{\mu^2} ((\Delta u)^2 - (\partial_i \partial_j u)^2) \approx \frac{\rho_m}{M_G^2}$$

$$C \propto \frac{d(\log \Gamma)}{d(\log \xi)} , \text{ which can be tuned to be extremely large.}$$
Then, the Vainshtein radius $r_V \approx \left(\frac{Cr_g}{\mu^2}\right)^{1/3}$
can be made very large, even if $\mu^{-1} << 300 \text{Mpc}$.
Solar system constraint: $\sqrt{C}\mu^{-1} \ge 300 \text{Mpc}$

$$\Delta v \approx \frac{\rho_m}{\tilde{M}_G^2}$$
 v is excited as in GR. $H^2 = \frac{\rho}{3\tilde{M}_G^2}$

Excitation of the metric perturbation on the hidden sector:

Erasing *u*, *v* and *R*

$$(\Delta - \mu^2)\widetilde{u} - \frac{\widetilde{C}}{\mu^2} \left((\Delta \widetilde{u})^2 - (\partial_i \partial_j \widetilde{u})^2 \right) \approx \frac{\rho_m}{M_G^2}$$
$$\Delta \widetilde{v} \approx \frac{\rho_m}{\widetilde{M}_G^2}$$

- \tilde{u} is also suppressed like u. \tilde{v} is also excited like v.
- The metric perturbations are almost conformally related with each other: $d\tilde{s}^2 \approx \xi_c^2 ds^2$

Non-linear terms of \tilde{u} (or equivalently u) play the role of the source of gravity.

EOM of Gravitational waves

$$h'' + 2aHh'' - \Delta h + a^{2}m_{g}^{2}(h - \tilde{h}) = 0$$

$$m_{g}^{2} = \frac{f'}{3} + \frac{(c-1)}{6}(f'' - f')$$

$$\tilde{h}'' + (2aH + 2\xi'/\xi - c'/c)\tilde{h}' - c^{2}\Delta\tilde{h} - a^{2}m_{g}^{2}\frac{c}{\kappa\xi^{2}}(h - \tilde{h}) = 0$$
(Comelli, Crisostomi, Pilo (2012))

Short wavelength approximation : $k >> m_g >> H$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\omega^{2} + k^{2} + m_{g}^{2} & -m_{g}^{2} \\ -\frac{1}{\kappa\xi^{2}}m_{g}^{2} & -\omega^{2} + c^{2}k^{2} + \frac{1}{\kappa\xi^{2}}m_{g}^{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h \\ \tilde{h} \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

Two propagation speeds are not same for $c \neq 1$. [$\neq v$ -oscillation]

Eigen mode
decomposition
$$\begin{cases}
h_A = \cos \theta_g h + \sin \theta_g \left(\sqrt{\kappa \xi \tilde{h}}\right) \\
h_2 = -\sin \theta_g h + \cos \theta_g \left(\sqrt{\kappa \xi \tilde{h}}\right) \\
k_{1,2}^2 = \omega^2 - \frac{\mu^2}{2} \left(1 + x \mp \sqrt{1 + 2x \frac{1 - \kappa \xi^2}{1 + \kappa \xi^2} + x^2}\right) & \mu^2 = m_g^2 \frac{1 + \kappa \xi^2}{\kappa \xi^2} \\
\cot 2\theta_g = \frac{\left(1 + \kappa \xi_c^2\right)x + \left(1 - \kappa \xi_c^2\right)}{2\sqrt{\kappa}\xi_c} & x \equiv \frac{2\omega^2(c-1)}{\mu^2}
\end{cases}$$

Gravitational wave propagation over a long distance D

Phase shift due to the modified dispersion relation:

$$\delta \Phi_{1,2} \equiv -\frac{\Delta k^2}{2\omega} D = \frac{\mu D \sqrt{c-1}}{2\sqrt{2x}} \left(1 + x \mp \sqrt{1 + 2x \frac{1 - \kappa \xi^2}{1 + \kappa \xi^2} + x^2} \right)$$
$$\mu D \sqrt{c-1} \approx H D \sqrt{3(1 + \kappa \xi_c^2)} \Omega_0$$
becomes O(1) after propagation over the horizon distance



Gravitational wave oscillations

1) At the time of generation of GWs from coalescing binaries, both h and \tilde{h} are equally excited.

2) When we detect GWs, we sense h only.





Graviton oscillations occur only around the frequency

$$\omega_{GW} \approx \frac{\mu^2}{\sqrt{6(1+\kappa\xi_c^2)\Omega_0}H} = 100 \text{Hz} \left(\frac{1+\kappa\xi_c^2}{100}\right)^{-1/2} \left(\frac{\mu}{(0.08\,pc)^{-1}}\right)^4 \quad \bigstar \ x \approx 1$$

Phase shift is as small as $HD\sqrt{3(1+\kappa\xi_c^2)\Omega_0}$?

No, *x* << 1 when the GWs are propagating the inter-galactic low density region.

