Measuring electron neutrino appearance BG using the T2K 2KM detector

11/1/2006

The joint meeting of DPF & JPS in Honolulu

Naho Tanimoto
 Duke University



- T2K and Intermediate detector project
- Neutrino spectra at 280m/2KM/SK

Motivation and performance of 2KM detector

utline

 $\mathbf{v}_{e}$  appearance analysis



## T2K experiment

- T2K (Tokai-to-Kamioka experiment)
  - JPARC (40GeV PS)
    - New accelerator
    - 0.75 MW  $\rightarrow$  4 MW
    - Peak  $v_{\mu}$  energy at 0.7 GeV
- 2.5° off-axis at Super-Kamiokande



- To obtain low energy narrow band neutrino energy, we use the off-axis technique
- Beam center doesn't point to SK, but reduces the beamoriginated background



- \_\_\_\_\_
- The major physics goals of the T2K experiment are :
  - Searching for non zero  $\theta_{13}$  by looking for electron neutrino appearance in mostly pure  $v_{\mu}$  beam

Goal of T2K experiment

- Precise measurement of  $\Delta m_{23}^2$  and  $\sin^2 2\theta_{23}$  with a few % and 1% accuracy by observing  $v_{\mu}$  disappearance
- The key to the T2K experiment is to cancel systematic uncertainties as much as possible and to understand the backgrounds in the beam before the neutrino oscillations have taken place  $\rightarrow$  Near detectors

## T2K detectors





- Near detectors : one detector at 280m, and the 2KM detector, 2km away from the v<sub>u</sub> beam source
- Far target : Super-Kamiokande
- Source of neutrinos looks like a point at 2KM



- At 280m, neutrino source is not point like, significant spectrum difference
- Almost the same neutrino spectrum at SK and 2KM even without correction

#### 7

Liquid Argon detector : exclusive final states frozen water target

Same detector technology as SK

~ 1 interaction/spill/1kton

Water Cherenkov detector :

14 n

Water Cerenkov

35 m



2KM detector consists of three sub-systems :

LAr

The candidate site is located 2km down stream

2KM detector configuration





# Water Cherenkov detector

- 1 kton water Cherenkov detector, fiducial mass : 100t
- 5660 8-inch PMTs (11164 20-inch PMTs in SK)
- The same technique, the same target as SK. Also, we can use the same techniques to calibrate the PMTs that have been developed for SK.
- Fiducial volume determination
  - We will extrapolate the measurement of BG at 2KM to SK
  - One of the biggest error comes from the mass of water
  - Install grid of small(2") PMTs along the fiducial volume boundary to measure syst. uncertainty
  - 0.7% error on FV identification error is expected by MC study
     → smaller than originally planned





# Liquid Argon detector

Fine grained time projection chamber, total LAr mass : 315t, fiducial mass : 100t

- Particles below Cherenkov threshold are visible, especially protons.
- Independent measurement of off-axis flux and non-QE/QE event ratio
- Exclusive measurement of NC and intrinsic electron neutrino BG. Excellent PID will allow these to be separately measured.
- Since SK is made of water, which is different than Ar, we will install water in the inner target. Measure the neutrino interaction with water with tracking information.







Muon range detector

- The MRD consists of 22 octagonal steel planes, each followed by an octagonal scintillator plane
  - Measure high tail of the neutrino spectrum which causes electron neutrino BG



High energy  $\mu$  come from K which also produce electron



- 2KM detector simulation & performance
- Design concept : the same technique as SK, but should match the physics quantitative response between the 2km and SK
- We made a detector simulation program based on SK & K2K 1-kton experience with Geant4 and tuned it using 1kton data from K2K
  - Flexibility  $\rightarrow$  Can switch 1kt  $\leftrightarrow$  2KM detector configuration

easily







- A simulated NC π<sup>0</sup> event in the 2km/SK WC detector with different size of diameter of PMTs
- 8-inch PMT shows the best match SK performance



 $\boldsymbol{v}_{\underline{e}}$  appearance analysis signal and background

- Signal : CCQE electron-like, 1-ring, non- $\pi^0$  events
  - Main sources of background for  $v_{e}$  appearance at T2K



Intrinsic beam v<sub>e</sub>

• <u>CC  $v_{\mu}$  mis-ID</u>

The same selection criteria are used at SK and 2km

Naho Tanimoto@JPS/DPF

### $e/\pi^0$ separation variables



Special variables to reduce NC- $\pi^0$  BG

All analysis cuts other than the one plotted have been applied



Naho Tanimoto@JPS/DPF

## Expected $v_e$ signal in SK



<u>నికనిస్తరి, సినిమిల్ల సినిమిల్ల సినిమిల్ల సినిమిల్ల సినిమిల్ల సినిమిల్ల సినిమిల్ల సినిమిల్ల సినిమిల్ల సినిమిల్</u>

### An example : if we have an oscillation point at Chooz limit,

 $\nu_{_{\rm e}}$  signal is found



But if there is no observed signal, or the signal is quite small, the error or sensitivity will be dominated by how well we can determine the background to the search. Background for  $v_e$  appearance



### Simulate 5yr 2KM MC and apply the same analysis cuts as at SK, ~3000 events in 100t fiducial volume

	NC	beam $\nu_e$	$\text{CC-}\nu_{\mu}$
1) FCFV, $E_{vis} > 100 \text{ MeV}$	93805	20250	564229
2) 1-ring <i>e</i> -like	20971	10113	12264
3) no decay- $e$	17241	8045	3284
4) 0.35 GeV < $E_{\nu e}^{rec}$ <0.85 GeV	6939	2430	1223
5) $e/\pi^0$ separation	1122	1551	469



## Prediction of BG for $\nu_{\rm e}$ appearance at SK using 2km



Simple scaling :

$$N_{SK}^{i} = N_{2km}^{i} \times (M_{SK}/M_{2km}) \times (L_{SK}/L_{2km})^{2} \times (\epsilon_{SK}/\epsilon_{2km})$$
No beam MC correction, assume  $(\epsilon_{SK}/\epsilon_{2km}) = 1$ 



Errors due to : fiducial volume 4%, analysis + energy

systematics 6.7% (conservative)

Expected BG at SK from MC=23.80  $\pm$  4.76(stat)

Extrapolated BG from  $2KM=23.01 \pm 0.41$ (stat)  $\pm 1.79$ (syst)  $= 23.01 \pm 8\%$ (total error)

In order not to be dominated by systematic error at phase I, <10% is required

- We believe we already know how to bring this down to 5%
- 2KM detector can predict the event seen at SK with very little correction



### Total background for $v_{\rho}$ appearance

Preliminary, simple & conservative estimate of systematics

# Full spectral analysis of $v_e$ appearance

Full spectral analysis with complete systematic errors are also being done. We have extensive analysis experience from the

Super-K and K2K experiments.

#### Neutrino Interaction (common)

- $M_A$  in quasi-elastic and single  $\pi$
- Quasi-elastic scattering (model dependence)
- Quasi-elastic scattering (cross section)
- Single  $\pi$  production (cross section)
- Multi  $\pi$  production (model dependence)
- Multi  $\pi$  production (cross section)
- Coherent  $\pi$  production (cross section)
- NC/CC ratio
- Nuclear effect in <sup>16</sup>O (not included)

19 out of 20 systematic errors has been included

#### **Event Reconstruction**

- 1-ring/multi-ring separation (common between SK & 2KM)
- 1-ring/multi-ring separation (difference between SK & 2KM)
- Particle ID

(common between SK & 2KM)

Particle ID

(difference between SK & 2KM)

#### T2K related Errors

- 📕 Fiducial volume (SK)
- Fiducial volume (2KM)
- 📔 Energy scale (SK)
- 📕 Energy scale (2KM)
- Electron/π<sup>0</sup> separation (Polfit)
   (common between SK & 2KM)
- Electron/π<sup>0</sup> separation (Polfit) (difference between SK & 2KM)
  - Beam related v<sub>e</sub> intrinsic BG Naho Tanimoto@JPS/DPF



2KM detector can measure accurately the background of v<sub>e</sub> appearance measurement at SK before oscillation

Conclusion

- Systematic errors due to the neutrino flux and target are canceled
- 2KM detector is essential for ultimate sensitivity for  $v_e$  appearance search
  - It can predict the events seen at SK with very little correction