

## Six Femtobarn Photon Searches from CDF

### $\gamma\gamma MEt \bullet \gamma\gamma \ l \bullet \gamma\gamma\gamma \bullet \gamma\gamma \ mass \bullet \ l\gamma X \bullet \ l\gamma bMEt$

October 31, 2006

Ray Culbertson

DPF 2006

### **All CDF Photon Results**



#### **Cross sections**

γγ γ+b W/Z→lv/ll+γ W/Z→jets+γ

#### **Searches**

γ MEt e\* in eeγ μ\* in μμγ γγ mass peaks γγMEt, γγl, γγγ *l*γ+X *l*γbMEt delayed photons 200pb<sup>-1</sup> 340pb/230pb<sup>-1</sup> 200pb<sup>-1</sup> 180pb<sup>-1</sup> PRL 95, 022003 (2005) *see Mario Campanelli's talk* PRL 94, 041803 (2004) available

70pb<sup>-1</sup> 200pb<sup>-1</sup> 370pb<sup>-1</sup> 1.1fb<sup>-1</sup> 1.1fb<sup>-1</sup> 300pb<sup>-1</sup>/930pb<sup>-1</sup> 0.9fb<sup>-1</sup> 500pb<sup>-1</sup> available
PRL 94, 101802 (2005)
submitted to PRL
this talk
this talk
PRL 97, 031801 (2006)/this talk
this talk *see Max Goncharov's talk*

### **Photon Triggers and Selections**

#### **DiPhoton triggers**

 $2 \times \text{Et} > 12$ , w/cal iso  $2 \times \text{Et} > 18$ , wo/cal iso

#### **Photon triggers**

Et > 25, w/cal iso Et > 50, wo/cal iso

plus ... photon+muon, photon+b, photon+2jet, triphoton

#### <u>Central Cuts</u> ( $\eta$ <1.0)

- Had/EM <0.055
- Calorimeter Iso, cone 0.4 < 2 GeV
- cluster in shower max, good  $\chi^2$
- small leading track Pt < 1 GeV
- track isolation, cone 0.4 < 2 GeV
- second Sh.Max. cluster Et < 2 to 3 GeV

#### MEt search adds anti-cosmic cuts:

EM TDC times (when available), jet topology, unattached muon stubs All analyses use  $Z \rightarrow$ ee and minbias to study/correct ID efficiencies



**Forward Cuts** (1.2< $\eta$ <2.8) Had/EM <0.05 Calorimeter Iso, cone 0.4 < 2 GeV cluster in shower max, good shape small leading track Pt < 1 GeV track isolation, cone 0.4 < 2 GeV tower shower shape, good  $\chi^2$ 

### **Two Techniques**

#### <u>e\_γ fake rate</u>

- almost all due to brem in detector
- Compare:
  - Z peak in ee
  - Z peak in e<sub>γ</sub>
- take Et dependence from Monte Carlo



October 31, 2006

#### **Phoenix Tracking**

- seed a track from cal cluster and event vertex
- find forward electrons
- or reject electrons with evidence of an e brem in the Si tracking

e Ray Culbertson





#### **Model**

- Randall-Sundrum Gravitons
- Extra dimension is "warped", with parameter k
- S-channel Graviton yields e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>,μ<sup>+</sup>μ<sup>-</sup>,γγ,... peaks at high-mass
- this search sensitive to any narrow diphoton peak <u>Analysis</u>
- 2 central-central or central-forward photons
- Et>15 GeV
- Mass > 30 GeV



#### central-central and central-forward have complimentary acceptence

Ray Culbertson

DPF 2006



#### Data sample

- 1.2 fb<sup>-1</sup>

- Highest mass events: central-central: 602 GeV central-forward: 454 GeV
  no significant MEt
- no significant MEt observed in high-mass events
- No sign of cosmics which brem in calorimeters







this background is not used in setting limits



October 31, 2006

Ray Culbertson



#### **Limits**

- Fit spectrum to Diphox + exponentials
- fit central-central and central-forward separately
- no normalization constraints
- limits from binned maximum likelihood with Monte Carlo signal shape times efficiency



### **Randall-Sundrum Graviton Limits**



- for  $k/M_{pl}=0.1$ , M(G)>850 GeV
- combined with  $e^+e^-$  RS search result: M(G)>875 GeV
- $\gamma\gamma$  has larger BR, better acceptance due to spin effects



Ray Culbertson

### **Search in Diphoton and Met**

#### **Sample**

- 1.2 fb<sup>-1</sup>
- Two central photons with Et>13
- Signature-based **<u>Remove</u>** fake MEt
- remove jets along MEt - use lowest MEt vertex **Remove Ewk**
- W $\rightarrow$ e $\rightarrow$ y by brem rejected by Phoenix **Remove non-collision**
- EM timing
- extra muon stubs





#### Search for $\gamma\gamma + \not\!\!\! E_{\tau}$ , Signal sample



### **Search in Diphoton and Met**



#### **QCD** background

MEt Model from control samples
predict MEt from energy and expected resolution

#### **Ewk background**

-  $e+\gamma$  sample times  $e \rightarrow \gamma$  fake rate **Non-Collision background** 

-no-vertex and out-of-time control samples

Total background, MEt>50GeV:  $1.6 \pm 0.3$ , 4 observed



### **Search for Diphotons and Leptons**



#### <u>Sample</u>

- Same diphotons
- 1.0 to 1.1fb<sup>-1</sup>
- top-like leptons:

#### **Backgrounds:**

- Ewk *lγ*γ (MadGraph) Denominator
  - times fake rate for:
- jets faking leptons
- jets faking photons
- electrons faking photons

#### **Electrons**

- Central e Et>20 GeV
- Forward e Et>20 GeV, including Phoenix tracks

#### **Muons**

- Central µ Pt>20 GeV
- Forward (CMX) μ Pt>20 GeV

| Before applying Phoenix rejection         |                             |                             |  |  |  |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Source                                    | electron                    | muon                        |  |  |  |
| $Z\gamma\gamma$                           | $0.904 \pm 0.023 \pm 0.085$ | $0.552 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.050$ |  |  |  |
| $W\gamma\gamma$                           | $0.170 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.016$ | $0.086 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.008$ |  |  |  |
| Fake $l+\gamma\gamma$                     | $0.131 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.053$ | $0.004 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.002$ |  |  |  |
| $l\gamma + \text{jet} \rightarrow \gamma$ | $0.475 \pm 0.025 \pm 0.312$ | $0.133 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.090$ |  |  |  |
| $l\gamma + e \rightarrow \gamma$          | $5.140 \pm 0.340 \pm 0.584$ | $0.017 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.002$ |  |  |  |
| Total $6.82 \pm 0.75$                     |                             | $0.79 \pm 0.11$             |  |  |  |
| Data                                      | 3                           | 0                           |  |  |  |

### **Search for Diphotons and Leptons**







### **Search for Triphotons**

#### Sample

- 1155 pb<sup>-1</sup>
- Start with same diphotons
- add a third central photon with Et>13 GeV

#### **Backgrounds**

S.M. Triphotons<br/>from MadGraph: $0.8 \pm 0.15$ At least one fake: $1.4 \pm 0.6$ Total: $2.2 \pm 0.6$ Observed:4



Ray Culbertson



- In Run I, in  $\mu\gamma$ MEt, expected 4 and observed 11
- Repeat the Run I analysis, so kinematics are completely a priori

#### <u>Sample</u>

- 930 pb<sup>-1</sup>
- Require:
  - tight central electron
     or muon, Et (Pt)>25 GeV
  - ♦ central photon, Et>25 GeV
- Look for
  - More Photons
  - Loose central or plug electron
  - Loose central muons
  - ♦MEt > 25 GeV

#### **Backgrounds**

- W/Zγ, W/Zγγ Baur and MadGraph Monte Carlo
- $e \rightarrow \gamma$  fake rate
- jet  $\rightarrow \gamma$ 
  - iso method (see next)
- jet  $\rightarrow l$  fake rate



#### Iso technique

- Find isolated shower isolation distribution from Z→e<sup>-</sup>e<sup>+</sup>
- From non-isolated shape from jets
- Fit candidates calorimeter isolation distribution to the two shapes







Ray Culbertson











ht = scalar sum of Et from photons, leptons, jets, and MEt





|                | CDF Run II Preliminary, $929pb^{-1}$                                              |                                     |                                       |                                             |  |  |
|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--|--|
| arounds        | Lepton + Photon + $E_T$ + b Events, Isolated Leptons                              |                                     |                                       |                                             |  |  |
| grounus        | Standard Model Source                                                             | $e\gamma b \mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{T}}$ | $\mu\gamma b \mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{T}}$ | $(e + \mu)\gamma b \mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{T}}$ |  |  |
| stly fakes     | $t\bar{t}\gamma$                                                                  | $0.32\pm0.036$                      | $0.21 \pm 0.025$                      | $0.53 \pm 0.058$                            |  |  |
| June 1         | $W^{\pm}c\gamma$                                                                  | $0.14 \pm 0.031$                    | $0.14 \pm 0.029$                      | $0.28 \pm 0.048$                            |  |  |
| ecially tags   | $W^{\pm}cc\gamma$                                                                 | $0.023 \pm 0.010$                   | $0.048 \pm 0.014$                     | $0.071 \pm 0.018$                           |  |  |
| 1 1            | $W^{\pm}bb\gamma$                                                                 | $0.14 \pm 0.024$                    | $0.099 \pm 0.018$                     | $0.24 \pm 0.035$                            |  |  |
| observed,      | WZ                                                                                | $0.029 \pm 0.014$                   | $0.0\pm0.0075$                        | $0.029 \pm 0.016$                           |  |  |
| $27 \pm 1.50$  | $Z(\tau\tau)\gamma$                                                               | $0.041 \pm 0.041$                   | $0.11\pm0.063$                        | $0.15 \pm 0.076$                            |  |  |
| $.37 \pm 1.52$ | $ee \not\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!$   | $1.04961 \pm 0.21$                  | —                                     | $1.04961 \pm 0.21$                          |  |  |
| avpactad       | $\mu e \not \!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!$ | _                                   | $0.24 \pm 0.08$                       | $0.24 \pm 0.08$                             |  |  |
| expected       | Jet faking $\gamma \ (ej \not E_T b, j \rightarrow \gamma)$                       | $0.73 \pm 0.34$                     | $0.46 \pm 0.20$                       | $1.19 \pm 0.028$                            |  |  |
|                | MisTags                                                                           | $2.85\pm0.35$                       | $1.89 \pm 0.26$                       | $4.74 \pm 0.51$                             |  |  |
|                | QCD(Jets faking $\ell$ and $\not\!\!\!E_T)$                                       | $2.85 \pm 1.32$                     | $0.0 \pm 0.50$                        | $2.85 \pm 1.41$                             |  |  |
|                | Total SM Prediction                                                               | $8.17 \pm 1.43 (tot)$               | $3.20 \pm 0.60 (tot)$                 | $11.37 \pm 1.52(tot)$                       |  |  |
|                | Observed in Data                                                                  | 7                                   | 8                                     | 15                                          |  |  |

#### **Backgrou**

- Mostly fa Especial - 15 observ  $11.37 \pm$ 





#### **ttbar Selection**

- create a subsample
- related to t t h<sup>0</sup>
- sensitive to t charge
- require Ht>200GeV (*a priori* top-like cut)

#### **Results**

- 10 observed,
  - $4.7 \pm 1.0$  expected
- ttγ is still out of reach



Ray Culbertson

CDF Run II Preliminary 929 pb

### **Ultimate Signature-based Search**



- Several of these photon results were "signature-based"
- This idea, taken to the logical conclusion is Sleuth
- Automate searches to all high-Pt regions, all signatures
- Fit efficiencies, fake rates and k-factors to the data,
- Apply these to a complete Monte Carlo description of the data (*See talk by Georgios Choudalakis on Wednesday*) Distributions for photon+2jets+MEt:



October 31, 2006

Ray Culbertson



# CDF has released recently, shown here: $\gamma\gamma$ MEt $\gamma\gamma$ mass $\gamma\gamma$ l $1\gamma X$ $\gamma\gamma\gamma$ $1\gamma$ bMEt

#### **Also Appearing Now at DPF**

γb Cross section delayed photons Sleuth

CDF photon program is going strong...

Ray Culbertson