Search for WH \rightarrow WWW $\rightarrow l^{\pm}v l^{'\pm}v' + X at DØ detector$

Yury Pogorelov University of Notre Dame on behalf of DØ collaboration DPF 2006





Tevatron Collider



- $p\overline{p}$ Collider with $\int s = 1.96 \text{ TeV}$
- Two experiments: CDF and DØ
- Run I $\int L dt \sim 125 \text{ pb}^{-1}$
- \bullet Run II currently $> 1 \ fb^{\text{-1}}$
- Run II expected: 4 8 fb^{-1}





DØ Detector at Tevatron



Why this channel is interesting ?

- H→W W* decay mode dominates SM Higgs masses above 135 GeV
- In fermiophobic Higgs models Br(H→ W W*) may be close to 100% for Higgs masses as low as 100 Gev.
- H→bb mode suffer from Wbb background
- Have smaller physical backgrounds than direct Higgs production $pp \rightarrow H$ $\rightarrow W W^*$ which suffer from Z/γ^* , WW and ttbar production.



WH \rightarrow WWW $\rightarrow l^{\pm} \nu l^{'\pm} \nu' + X$ signature



Event selection

- Di-lepton Trigger (ee,eµ, μμ)
- 2 like sign leptons P_{T} > 15 GeV

Electron:

- Central calorimeter (CC) electron ($|\eta_{det}| < 1.5$)
- Standard EM cuts: iso<0.15, emf>0.9
- Calorimeter shower shape consistent with electron (Lhood>0.85)
- Spatial and momentum match between track and EM cluster

Muon:

- "loose" quality with central track match
- passes cosmic veto
- Doesn't share its track with an electron candidate
- Jet isolation: $\Delta R(\mu,j) > 0.5$
- Track and calorimeter isolation

Instrumental backgrounds

1. "Charge flips" (charge mismeasurement of one of the leptons). Same-flavor channels (ee, $\mu\mu$) are dominated by Z/γ^* .

3. Like-sign lepton pairs from multijet and W+jets production

In the case of muons, these can be:

- real muon from heavy flavor jets
- punch-through hadrons
- muons from π/K decays

In the case of electrons it is:

- heavy flavor decay to electron
- Hadrons misidentified as electrons

Additional track quality cuts

In order to reduce instrumental background tighter track cuts applied

Tracks required:

- Have at least 2 SMT (Silicon Microstrip Tracker) and 5 CFT (Central Fiber Tracker) hits
- A small distance of closest approach (DCA) to the beam axis |dca|<
 0.1cm
- A small distance between Z-position of the lepton track at DCA and event primary vertex (PV) $\Delta Z(l,PV)$ <1cm.
- A small DCA significance |*dca*/σ(*dca*)|<3

Background estimation

- "Physical" (ZZ,WZ) normailized on theoretical crosssection
- QCD and "charge flips" fractions are estimated by fitting lepton invariant mass (M_{μ}) in data using:
 - QCD shape derived from data sample where e or μ or both fail isolation/likelihood cuts.
 - "Charge flips" probability curve (as a function of lepton P_T) taken from MC simulation of W and Z decays.
 - To avoid bias from potential signal fit is performed on the sample of events which failed TLD cut

October 31 DPF 2006

Yury Pogorelov

October 31 DPF 2006

Topological likelihood discriminant

 $lhs(v_1, \ldots, v_n) = \frac{\prod_i s_i(v_i)}{\prod_i s_i(v_i) + \prod_i b_i(v_i)}$ Where $s_i(v_i)$ and $b_i(v_i)$ are signal and background probability density of variable V_i

In order to improve S/B ratio final selections based on topological likelihood discriminant (TLD):

- Opening angle $\Delta \varphi_{\mu\mu}(\mu\mu \text{ channel})$
- ME_{T} (ee,eµ channels)
- Hadronic ME_{T} (ME_T not corrected for leptons) (all channels) •

Dilepton Mass fit for ee and $\mu\mu$



October 31 DPF 2006

Yury Pogorelov

TLD cut selection

TLD cut is optimized for best expected limit for each higgs mass and channel (ee, $\mu\mu$,e μ) individually:

	ee	eµ	μμ
$M_{\rm H} = 115$	0.8	0.39	0.34
$M_{\rm H} = 135$	0.81	0.48	0.50
$M_{\rm H} = 155$	0.88	0.48	0.55
M _H =175	0.80	0.50	0.69

Data and predicted SM background as a function of TLD cut







TLD cut shown is optimized for $M_{\rm H}$ =155 GeV

After the TLD cut we have:

- 1 ee event
- $3 e \mu$ events
- $2 \mu\mu$ events

October 31 DPF 2006

Results: expected and observed number of events for different Higgs masses

$M_{H}(\text{GeV})$	115	135	155	175
Charge flips	2.35±0.90	1.40±0.53	1.12±0.43	0.89±0.31
QCD	2.35±1.04	2.04±0.83	1.64±0.69	1.16±0.46
WZ	3.40±0.28	1.87±0.15	1.51±0.12	1.26±0.10
ZZ	0.34±0.03	0.21 ± 0.02	0.17 ± 0.01	0.15 ± 0.01
Total	8.44±1.37	5.52±0.99	4.45±0.82	3.46±0.57
Signal	0.037 ± 0.004	0.100±0.010	0.143±0.015	0.110±0.011
Data	9	6	6	6

All three channels are combined and TLD cut is optimized for best expected limit for each mass and channel individually.

Observed number of events is consistent with SM background prediction, therefore cross-section limit can be established

Results: Expected and observed limits at the 95% C.L. for the associated Higgs boson production

$M_{H}(\text{GeV})$	115	135	155	175
Expected limit (pb)	3.3	2.8	2.3	2.0
Observed limit (pb)	3.2	2.9	2.9	2.8

Expected and observed limits at the 95% C.L. for the associated Higgs boson production



Conclusion:

- A search, using 360-380 pb has been performed for the process WH \rightarrow WWW $\rightarrow \iota^{\pm}\nu \iota^{'\pm}\nu' + X$ in the ee,eµ and µ µ channels.
- Data events are in agreement with SM prediction.
- Upper limit on $\sigma(WH) \times Br(H \rightarrow WW^*)$ was set
- Results of the search are being combined with other Higgs channels.

The End

Charge flip probability and $1/p_{\rm T}$

Charge flips in MC

 $1/p_{T}$ for muon flips in MC



Muons with misreconstructed charge acquire random $q/p_{T_{,}}$ Shape of q/p_{T} is used to make invariant mass plot

October 31 DPF 2006

QCD invariant mass distribution

ee channel

μµ channel



