IMB Proton Lifetime Experiment

Workshop on Ghost Particle Hunting: Neutrino Physics and its Applications to World Peace

RM Bionta Hye Sook Park April 2025

Tokyo Conference, August 1978: Grand Unification Theories

"Minimal" SU5 was predicting a proton lifetime of 10^{29} years for the mode p -> $e^+\pi^0$

1954, Reines, Cowan, and Goldhaber: t >10²² years (Phys Rev 96, 1157)

1960 Backenstoss et al. (Nuovo Cimento 16 749) $t > 10^{26}$ years

1974, Reines and Crouch: (Phys Rev Lett 32 493) t > 10³⁰ years (for modes with muon)

January '79.

At a meeting in Irvine W. Kropp, J. Learned, R. March, F. Reines, J. Schultz, D. Sinclair, H. Sobel, L. Sulak, and J. van der Velde signed up.

M. Goldhaber was soon added, and letters of support were solicited from Glashow, Gell-Mann, Salam and Weinberg.

Proposal, May 31, 1979



Proposal Presentation, Washington, May 31, 1979



Proposal Presentation, Washington, May 31, 1979



				Einstein	LoSecco	
	Smith	Wuest Sir	ndair Learned			Cortez
Bratton	Sobel	Van der Velde	Goldhaber	Reines	Sulak	

The Dosco Machine ...





... sculpted the cavern leaving lots of dust (FC – Fine Crushed salt)











September 1981



Phototube assembly and testing was done at UCI and UM



Hauling two halves of the photomultiplier test tank on John's old Camaro at UCI



Hauling two halves of the photomultiplier test tank on John's old Camaro at UCI



Colleen in the HEP office at UCI , September 1980.



Collaboration meetings at Laguna Beach CA ...



... were a favorite of the folks from UM

New technologies were developed for calibration



N2 Laser



 4π radiator

Displaying phototube time and charge data



Use color for time, number of slashes for Q.

First version had 6 views: North, east, south, west, top, bottom

Stopping muon in 10 feet of water

Successful fill

. .

1.0

-

.....

.....

.

-

Muons !



Muons crossed detector at 3/second.

Easy to track: Draw line from earliest 10 phototubes to 10 brightest

Upgraded display allows viewing from any angle.



Showers of multiple muons also observed



Contained neutrino event – track originates inside the detector

SN 1987a neutrino at UT 7:35:42.0

A handful of proton decay candidates were obtained ...

... but all were rejected.



"Unroll plot" – phototubes were projected to a cylinder surrounding the vertex. The unrolled cylinder showed the angle between the tracks

This one was close to proton decay, but the energy was too large, the angle was less than 180 degrees, and a muon decay was observed

Search for Proton Decay into $e^+\pi^0$

R. M. Bionta, G. Blewitt, C. B. Bratton, B. G. Cortez,^(a) S. Errede, G. W. Forster,^(a) W. Gajewski,
M. Goldhaber, J. Greenberg, T. J. Haines, T. W. Jones, D. Kielczewska,^(b) W. R. Kropp,
J. G. Learned, E. Lehmann, J. M. LoSecco, P. V. Ramana Murthy,^(c) H. S. Park,
F. Reines, J. Schultz, E. Shumard, D. Sinclair, D. W. Smith,^(d) H. W. Sobel,
J. L. Stone, L. R. Sulak, R. Svoboda, J. C. van der Velde, and C. Wuest
The University of California at Irvine, Irvine, California 92717, and The University of Michigan.
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, and Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973,
and California Institute of Technology. Pasadena, California 91125, and Cleveland State
University, Cleveland, Ohio 44115, and The University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii
96822, and University College, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom
(Received 13 April 1983)

Observations were made 1570 meters of water equivalent underground with an 8000metric-ton water Cherenkov detector. During a live time of 80 d no events consistent with the decay $p \rightarrow e^+\pi^0$ were found in a fiducial mass of 3300 metric tons. It is concluded that the limit on the lifetime for bound plus free protons divided by the $e^+\pi^0$ branching ratio is $\tau/B > 6.5 \times 10^{31}$ yr; for free protons the limit is $\tau/B > 1.9 \times 10^{31}$ yr (90% confidence). Observed cosmic-ray muons and neutrinos are compatible with expectations.

PACS numbers: 13.30, Eg, 11.30, Ly, 14.20, Dh

Search for upward muons



New trigger implemented to capture upward going events

Search for upward muons



New trigger implemented to capture upward going events



Muon from neutrino interaction under the detector

Tracking muons to their source



	Preface to the third edition	ix
	About this book and how to use it	xi
	Time	1
1	Calendars	1
2	The date of Easter	2
3	Converting the date to the day number	4
4	Julian day numbers	6
5	Converting the Julian day number to the calendar date	8
6	Finding the day of the week	9
7	Converting hours, minutes and seconds to decimal hours	10
8	Converting decimal hours to hours, minutes and seconds	11
9	Converting the local time to UT	12
0	Converting UT to local civil time	15
1	Sidereal time (ST)	16
2	Conversion of UT to GST	17
3	Conversion of GST to UT	18
4	Local sidereal time (LST)	20
5	Converting LST to GST	21
6	Ephemeris time (ET) and terrestrial dynamic time	
	(TDT)	22
	Coordinate systems	25
17	Horizon coordinates	26
18	Equatorial coordinates	27
19	Ecliptic coordinates	30
20	Galactic coordinates	32
21	Converting between decimal degrees and degrees, minutes	
	and seconds	33
22	Converting between angles expressed in degrees and angles	

Upward muon source distribution



The true beginning of neutrino astronomy

1985 PRL paper *"Baskin-Robbins" paper*

VOLUME 54, NUMBER 1

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 7 JANUARY 1985

Experimental Limits on the Nucleon Lifetime for Two- and Three-Body Decay Modes

H. S. Park, G. Blewitt, B. G. Cortez, G. W. Foster, W. Gajewski, T. J. Haines, D. Kielczewska,
J. M. LoSecco, R. M. Bionta, C. B. Bratton, D. Casper, P. Chrysicopoulou, R. Claus, S. Errede,
K. S. Ganezer, M. Goldhaber, T. W. Jones, W. R. Kropp, J. G. Learned, E. Lehmann,
F. Reines, J. Schultz, S. Seidel, E. Shumard, D. Sinclair, H. W. Sobel, J. L. Stone,
L. R. Sulak, R. Svoboda, J. C. van der Velde, and C. Wuest

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	Re	equiremer	nts		Effic.	Effic.	Candi-	No. of	Limit on
Mode					with	without	dates	Bkand.	τ/B
	F	Δ	#	Back	Nucloar	Nuclear	Observed	Fet	$(x10^{31}yn)$
	(Mou)	^	#μ	back	Corr	Comm	observeu	LSC.	
	(Mev)			10	corr.	corr.		-50%,	90% C.L.
				Back				+100%	
$p \rightarrow e^+\gamma$	750-1100	< 0.3	0	0	0.66	0.66	0	0.1	18.
$p \rightarrow e^+\pi^\circ$	750-1100	< 0.3	0	0	0.46	0.75	0	0.1	12.
$tp \rightarrow e^{+}K^{\circ}$	300-500	< 0.5	1		0.12	0.12	i	2	
	750-1100	< 0.3	0		0.14	0.14	0	0.2	4.9
$n \rightarrow a^{+} n^{0}$	750-1100	103	õ		0.37	0.54	ñ	0.2	
pren	100 650		1		0.07	0.15	0	2	12
+ 0	400-050	< 0.5	1		0.07	0.15	0	6	12.
$p \rightarrow e^{r}\rho^{o}$	200-600	0.1-0.5	1		0.16	0.30	1	4	2.5
p → e⁺ω°	300-600	0.1-0.5	1		0.19	0.39	i	3	70.00 1825
	750-1100	< 0.3	0		0.05	0.06	0	0.2	4.0
$p \neq \mu^+ \gamma$	550-900	< 0.5	1	2	0.52	0.52	0	0.4	14.
$p \rightarrow u^+ \pi^{\circ}$	550-900	< 0.4	1	1	0.32	0.44	b	0.2	5.1
$tn \rightarrow u^{+}k^{\circ}$	150-400	0 1-0 5	1 2	-	0.19	0.20	fi	2	
ib , h v	550 000	10.1-0.5	1,2		0.14	0.14	2 0	2	20
- + 0	550-900		1		0.14	0.14	a,0	2	2.5
p → µ'n°	550-900	< 0.5	1	ļ	0.23	0.44	a,0	2	
	200-400	< 0.5	1,2		0.12	0.22	†,1	2	3.1
$p \rightarrow \mu^{+}\rho^{\circ}$	150-400	0.1-0.5	1,2		0.10	0.16	f,i	2	1.2
$p \rightarrow \mu^+ \omega^\circ$	200-450	0.1-0.5	1,2		0.18	0.32	f,i	2	
· // ///	650-900	< 0.5	1	1	0.03	0.05	a.b	0.8	2.1
$p \rightarrow v K^+$	150-375	0.3-0.6	1	1	0.08	0.08	3	4	0.7
n + v ot	300-600	0 2-0 5	î		0.07	0.19	ĩ	3	1 1
p *+	250 500	0.2-0.5	1		0.00	0.10	1	1	0.7
$p \neq v k$	250-500	0.3-0.0			0.09	0.19	4	0,3	25
p →e e e e	/50-1100	< 0.3	0	}	0.93	0.93	0	0.2	25.
p →u+u+u-	200-425	< 0.5	2,3	[0.58	0.58	T T	0.2	9.
n → e ⁺ π ⁻	450-950	< 0.5	0		0.40	0.55	c,e,g,h	4	2.5
n → e⁻π ⁺	400-700	< 0.5	1		0.10	0.24	0	2	for the second
	700-950	< 0.5	0	1	0.10	0.07	c,e	2	2.5
$n \rightarrow e^+ a^-$	400-800	< 0.4	0	i	0.20	0.42	c.d.e.a	2	1.2
$n \rightarrow e^{-} e^{+}$	100-800	< 0.4	0 1	}	0.22	0.57	acden	3	1.2
n+	200 700	205	1		0.30	0.13	i, c, a, c, g	A	3.8
$n \neq \mu n$	200-700		1 2	1	0.30	0.45	fi	2	27
$n \rightarrow \mu \pi'$	200-500	< 0.5	1,2		0.29	0.45	1,1	5	2.7
n → μ [*] ρ	300-550	< 0.5	1	1	0.07	0.29	1	2	0.9
$n \rightarrow \mu^{-}\rho^{+}$	300-550	< 0.5	1,2	l	0.10	0.41	t,1	2	0.9
η + ν γ	350-600	0.5 <	0	1	0.77	0.77	28	19	1.1
n ≁νπ°	350-600	0.5 <	0		0.51	0.82	28	19	0.7
n + v K°	450-700	0.2-0.5	0		0.10	0.11	2	2	1.0
$n \rightarrow v n^{\circ}$	450-800	0.1-0.5	0	1	0.29	0.56	4	3	1.8
$n \rightarrow y o^{\circ}$	150-500	0.1-0.4	0 1	1	0.05	0.11	7	3	0.2
n 7 v p	200 450	0 2 0 5	1	1	0.08	0.24	1	2	
$\Pi \rightarrow V \omega^{*}$	200-450	0.2-0.5			0.00	0.06	0	0.2	1.6
	1050-950	< 0.3	0		0.03	0.00	U	0.5	1.0
n → v K*°	200-700	.15-0.5	1		0.06	0.11	1	4	0.7
n → e ⁺ e ⁻ ν	500-850	< 0.5	0		0.41	0.41	4	3	2.6
$n \rightarrow u + u - v$	150-375	0.265	1,2	1	0.31	0.31	4	7	1.9
The community country and		The second			and the second se		and the second		



Fairport Harbor August 2024

Morton Salt Mine APS Historic Site



Thank you John for your inspiration through the years! Happy Birthday!





John and the IMB collaboration inspired me into cosmic rays and astrophysics

Pictures for Upgrade Proposal to D.O.E.





- As a first-generation graduate student, I built and tested the PMTs in the elevator shaft in Michigan
- Cleaned every single cm² of the inner liner with alcohol and acetone after the big leak
- Numerous over-nights looking for upgoing muons and neutrinos

~35 years later – still working on astrophysics problems



Hye-Sook, holding 20" PMT

Hye-Sook, holding a NIF target

ScienceNews Nov 12, 2020

https://www.sciencenews.org/article/supernova-star-death-giant-lasers-explosive-mysterious-physics

Studying cosmic ray acceleration mechanisms in the laboratory: Super-nova remnants, collisionless shocks, particle acceleration

Acceleration mechanisms for high energy cosmic rays have been interests to astrophysical community over many decades



Fermi-acceleration in a super-nova shock wave is a favorite theory



R. D. Blandford, J. P. Ostriker, AP J, 221, L29 (1978)

A. R. Bell, MNRAS, 182, 147, 1978; A. R. Bell, PRA, 38, 1363 (1988)

We study particle acceleration mechanisms by creating collisionless shocks of SN remnants using high-power lasers

National Ignition Facility



192 laser beams 2.2 MJ/440 TW scale ~ 300 m 2 soccer fields

Located in Livermore, CA near San Francisco



- Interpenetrating plasma flows: 1800 km/s (4M miles/hr)
- Diagnostics:
 - Optical Thomson Scattering
 - Particle spectrometer
 - X-ray imaging

How are collisionless shocks formed?



Two main mechanisms for creating collisionless shocks are considered:

- For low initial B field, particles are deflected by self-generated magnetic fields in filamentation / Weibel instabilities
- For large initial B field, particles are deflected by compressed magnetic fields



NIF experiments achieved fully formed collisionless shocks and non-thermal particle acceleration



F. Fiuza et al., Nature Physics, 2020

The observed electron energy spectrum is consistent with shock acceleration based on the measured experimental parameters

Observed maximum electron energy (500 keV) is consistent with estimates based on observed shock parameters

- We estimate $B \sim 100$ T, E = 500 keV gyro radius is $r_g \sim 30 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$
- 30µm is much smaller than observed shock width.
- Electrons are therefore trapped and must escape via transverse diffusion
- <u>Hillas limit</u>: Maximum energy is work done by E-fields over the transverse width of shock $L_{\perp} \sim 5mm$, $v_{flow} \sim 1000 \text{ kms}^{-1}$:

$$E_{max} = BL_{\perp} e \frac{v_{flow}}{c} \sim 500 \text{ keV}$$







Kinetic simulations reveal electron acceleration due to small scale turbulence in shock transition





Opening new windows into the inner workings of cosmic accelerators







Space and astrophysical observations indicate that electrons can be significantly heated and accelerated in collisionless shocks but microphysics is still not well understood

Laboratory experiments at NIF observed nonthermal electron acceleration consistent with modified diffusive shock acceleration due to microturbulence within shock transition

Ongoing studies being extended to study electron-ion temperature ratio and electron acceleration in magnetised shocks

Combination of laboratory experiments and kinetic simulations is opening unique windows to probe relevant shock microphysics and test models



Thank you John for your inspiration through the years! Happy Birthday!

