# Anti-matter from secondary cosmic-ray interactions



### And the role of HBT interferometry

Blum, KCYN, Sato, Takimoto 1704.05431 Also recently, Blum Takimoto 1901.07088



Kenny, Chun Yu Ng (吳震宇) Weizmann Institute of Science



## Cosmic ray relative abundance

 Primary ratios determined by the source +acceleration
 Physics

 Secondaries produced by spallation in flight



Martin Israel

## Secondary production

- Primary ratios determined by the source +acceleration Physics
- Secondaries produced by spallation in flight
- Mean column density (Rigidity)



### Cosmic Ray Beam Dump experiment

- Rigidity-dependent propagation
- Observed secondaries ∝ net production rate

$$\frac{n_a(\mathcal{R})}{n_b(\mathcal{R})} = \frac{Q_a(\mathcal{R})}{Q_b(\mathcal{R})} \qquad Q_a(\mathcal{R}) = \sum_P n_P(\mathcal{R}) \frac{\sigma_{P \to a}(\mathcal{R})}{m} - n_a(\mathcal{R}) \frac{\sigma_a(\mathcal{R})}{m}$$

Grammage

$$X_{\rm esc}(\mathcal{R}) = \frac{n_{\rm B}(\mathcal{R})}{Q_{\rm B}(\mathcal{R})}$$

$$({\rm B/C})$$

$$f_{\rm esc} = \frac{(B/C)}{\sum_{\rm P=C,N,O,...} (P/C) \frac{\sigma_{\rm P \to B}}{m} - (B/C) \frac{\sigma_{\rm B}}{m}}$$

### Secondary CR antimatter

- We just need the production cross section now
- Astrophysical effects are absorbed in the empirical grammage factor\*



- Secondary?
- Dark matter?
- ???



Cirelli et al 2014

### Anti-deuteron and Anti-Helium

• The coalescence picture

$$E_{A} \frac{dN_{A}}{d^{3}p_{A}} = B_{A} R(x) \left( E_{p} \frac{dN_{p}}{d^{3}p_{p}} \right)^{A}$$
Coalescence yield  
To be determined by data  

$$\frac{A}{m_{p}^{A-1}} \left( \frac{4\pi}{3} p_{c}^{3} \right)^{A-1} = B_{A}$$

•  $p_c$ : the coalescence momentum\*\*\*

### Coalescence Yield $(p_c)$

- Chardonnet, Orloff, Salati 1997
  - 58 MeV for both B2 and B3, from  $pp \rightarrow \bar{d}$  data
  - Drag down by low-CME high- $p_t$  Serpukhov data
- Duperray et al 2005
  - 79 MeV for B2, joint *pp* and *pA* fit (underfits *pp*)
     79 MeV for B3, poor fits to the sparce *pA* data

## Coalescence data (B2)

- Large variation
  - Between classes
  - Within classes

For CR secondaries
 *-pp* most important



### Coalescence data (B3)

No pp data (yet)

• 79 MeV  $- \sim 2 \times 10^{-5} \text{GeV}^4$ 





### **Coalescence-HBT relation**

- Scheibl and Heinz 1999 (also Mrowczynski 1987 +++)
  - Coalescence probability is related to the source size R
  - R measured by the HBT interferometry
- Hanbury Brown and Twiss interferometry

### Hanbury Brown and Twiss interferometry





$$C(k_1, k_2) = \frac{P_2(k_1, k_2)}{P_1(k_1)P_1(k_2)} = 1 \pm \cos[(k_1 - k_2).(x_1 - x_2)]$$
  
~ kd\theta

#### Generalize

$$C(k_1, k_2) = \frac{P_2(k_1, k_2)}{P_1(k_1)P_1(k_2)} = 1 \pm \lambda \ |\tilde{\rho}(q)|^2$$

Padula 2004

### Hanbury Brown and Twiss interferometry



Fig. 2. Comparison between the values of the normalized correlation coefficient  $\Gamma^2(d)$  observed from Sirius and the theoretical values for a star of angular diameter  $0.0063^{"}$ . The errors shown are the probable errors of the observations

#### Hanbury Brown and Twiss 1956





Padula 2004

## HBT in nuclear physics

- Goldhaber, Goldhaber, Lee, Pais 1960
  - Independently

$$-p\bar{p} \rightarrow S \rightarrow \pi\pi$$

$$C(Q^2) = 1 + e^{-Q^2 r^2}$$

Baym 1997 <u>N44 1993</u>

 Space-time structure of nuclear collisions



### **Coalescence-HBT relation**

- Scheibl and Heinz 1999 (also Mrowczynski 1987 +++)
  - Coalescence probability is related to the source size R
  - R measured by the HBT interferometry

$$B_3 = \frac{(2\pi)^3}{4\sqrt{3}} \langle C_3 \rangle (m_t R_1 R_2 R_3)^{-2}$$

$$\langle C_3 \rangle \approx \Pi_{i=1,2,3} \left( 1 + \frac{b_3^2}{4R_i^2} \right)^{-1}$$

### **Coalescence-HBT relation**

### • No free parameters!



### B2



Works pretty well



### **B**3

 Also works pretty well

• B3 prediction  $-2 \times 10^{-4} - 2 \times 10^{-3}$ 

• Dangerous  $-pA \rightarrow pp$  $-B2 \rightarrow p_c \rightarrow B3$ 



## Anti-Helium events?

 Could explain some of the AMS events?

 Need the most optimistic yield value



# New Alice data

- $R \simeq 1.14 \text{ fm}$ 
  - $-1.4 \text{ GeV} m_t$

 $-\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ 

-(1805.12455)

1709.08522

10

 $B_3 \,({
m GeV}^4/c^6)$ 





19

### **Coalescence-HBT relation**

- Blum, Takimoto 1901.07088
  - More general derivation
  - Chaoticity parameter ( $\lambda$ )

 $C(Q^2) = 1 + e^{-Q^2 r^2}$ 

- More or less works
- Joint Yield-HBT data analysis should be done
  - Pt
  - centrality





### Conclusion

- The Coalescence-HBT relation provides an interpretation to the yield data.
- The predicted anti-helium yield is much higher than previously thought.
- Joint Coalescence-HBT analysis may further reduce the uncertainty (*p<sub>t</sub>* and centrality bins)
   Help predict the dark matter yield?
- Uncertainty in the secondary CR anti-nuclei could be significantly reduced.

# Backup

