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## 1. Introduction

## 1. 1 Experiments

## -S-wave and P-wave $D_{s}$ is believed established

| States | $J^{P}$ | $n^{2 S+1} L_{J}$ | $j^{p}$ | PDG note |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $D_{S}(1969)^{ \pm}$ | $0^{-}$ | $1^{1} S_{0}$ | $\frac{1}{2}^{-}$ |  |
| $D_{S}^{\star}(2112)^{ \pm}$ | $1^{-}$ | $1^{3} S_{1}$ | $\frac{1}{2}^{-}$ | $J^{P}=?^{?}$ consistent with $1^{-}$ |
| $D_{S J}^{\star}(2317)^{ \pm}$ | $0^{+}$ | $1^{3} P_{0}$ | $\frac{1}{2}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{J}, \mathrm{P}$ need confirmation |
| $D_{S J}(2460)^{ \pm}$ | $1^{+}$ | $1^{1} P_{1}$ | $\frac{1}{2}^{+}$ |  |
| $D_{S 1}(2536)^{ \pm}$ | $1^{+}$ | $1^{3} P_{1}$ | $\frac{3}{2}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{J}, \mathrm{P}$ need confirmation |
| $D_{S 2}(2573)^{ \pm}$ | $2^{+}$ | $1^{3} P_{2}$ | $\frac{3}{2}^{+}$ | $J^{P}=?^{?}$ consistent with $2^{+}$ |

-Higher excited $D_{s}$ is observed

$$
D_{s 1}(2700)^{ \pm}, D_{s J}^{*}(2860)^{+}, \text {and } D_{s J}(3040)^{+}
$$

## $D_{s 11}(2700)^{ \pm}$



## $D_{s,}^{*}(2860)^{ \pm}$

## Phys.Rev.Lett.97,222001(2006)

$$
\begin{gathered}
m\left(D_{s . J}(2860)^{+}\right)=(2856.6 \pm 1.5 \pm 5.0) \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2} \\
\Gamma\left(D_{s . J}(2860)^{+}\right)=(47 \pm 7 \pm 10) \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

BaBar

$$
\begin{array}{r}
m\left(D_{s, J}^{*}(2860)^{+}\right)=2862 \pm 2_{\text {stat }}\left({ }_{-2}^{+5}\right)_{\text {syst }} \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2} \\
\Gamma=48 \pm 3_{\text {stat }} \pm 6_{\text {syst }} \mathrm{MeV}, \\
\frac{\mathcal{B}\left(D_{s, J}^{*}(2860)^{+} \rightarrow D^{*} K\right)}{\mathcal{B}\left(D_{s, J}^{*}(2860)^{+} \rightarrow D K\right)}=1.10 \pm 0.15_{\text {stat }} \pm 0.19_{\text {syst }}
\end{array}
$$



Mass: $2862_{-2.8}^{+5.4} \mathrm{MeV}$;
Decay width: $48 \pm 7 \mathrm{MeV}$;
Branching ratio : $\Gamma\left(\mathrm{D}^{*} \mathrm{~K}\right) / \Gamma(\mathrm{D} \mathrm{K})=1.10 \pm 0.24$.
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Two kinds of classification schemes of $\boldsymbol{D}_{s}$
-Nonrelativistic:

## $n^{2 S+1} L_{J}$

-Heavy quark symmetric:
$n^{\boldsymbol{F}}{ }^{P}$

- Physical states may not be the ${ }^{2 s+1} L_{j}$ or the $\boldsymbol{j}^{P}$ eigenstates!
- Mixing between orbital P-wave
- Mixing between orbital D-wave

- Mixing between the orbital Dwave /1- $^{-}$and the first radial S-wave (1-)

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|(S D)_{1}\right\rangle_{L}=\cos \theta\left|2^{3} S_{1}\right\rangle-\sin \theta\left|1^{3} D_{1}\right\rangle \\
\left|(S D)_{1}\right\rangle_{R}=\sin \theta\left|2^{3} S_{1}\right\rangle+\cos \theta\left|1^{3} D_{1}\right\rangle,
\end{array}
$$


${ }^{3} P_{0}$ model: the elementary process is described by the creation of a $q$ q pair with the quantum numbers of the vacuum, $J^{P C}=0^{++}$, in the final state (A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pene and J.C. Raynal, Phys. Rev. D8, 2223(1973); D 11, 1272(1975))

| $D_{s 1}(2700)^{ \pm}$ | Reference |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2^{3} S_{1}\left[2 S\left(1^{-}, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right]$ | CTLS[A-1]: Mass $\sqrt{ }$, width $\times$ : CWZ[A-2]: Mass J; Width J:ratio ?: CFNR[A-3]: Width J:ratio J: |
| $1^{3} D_{1}\left[1 D\left(1^{-}, \frac{3}{2}\right)\right]$ | ZLDZ[A-4]: Width f:ratio $\times$; |
| Mixing state | LM[A-5,6]: Width J: ratio $J:\left\{2^{3} S_{1}\right\}$ zZ[A-7]: Width $\sqrt{\text { : ratio } \sqrt{ }:\left\{1^{3} D_{1}^{\}},\right\}}$ |


| $D_{\text {sJ }}(2860)^{ \pm}$ | Reference |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2^{3} P_{0}\left[2 P\left(0^{+}, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right]$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BR[B-1]: Mass } \sqrt{\text { : }} \\ \text { CTLS[B-2]: Mass } 5 \text {, width } \times \text { : } \end{gathered}$ |
| $1^{3} D_{3}\left[1 D\left(3^{-}, \frac{5}{2}\right)\right]$ | CFN[B-3]: Mass S?; Width V:ratio $\times$ : CWZ[B-4]: Mass J: Width J: ratio ?: ZLDZ[B-5]: Width J:ratio $\times$ : |
| other | EFG[B-6]: (tetraquark) Mass J: EFG[B-7]: (Mixing state; two largely over-lapping resonances) Mass S: decay width f: branching ratio 5 |

## literature :



## Inconsistence within the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}$ model

## F.E.Close, et al.

 Phys.Lett.B 647,159 (2007)$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|D_{s}^{*}(2690)\right\rangle \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(-2|1 S\rangle+1|1 D\rangle), \\
& \left|D_{s}^{*}(2810)\right\rangle \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(|1 S\rangle+2|1 D\rangle)
\end{aligned}
$$

a mixing angle consistent with -0.5 radians.
with a broad width, greater than 200 MeV .

D-M.Li and B. Ma Phys. Rev. D 81, 014021 (2010)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|D_{s 1}(2710)\right\rangle=\cos \theta\left|2^{3} S_{1}\right\rangle-\sin \theta\left|1^{3} D_{1}\right\rangle \\
\left|D_{s 1}\left(M_{X}\right)\right\rangle=\sin \theta\left|2^{3} S_{1}\right\rangle+\cos \theta\left|1^{3} D_{1}\right\rangle,
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
1.12 \leq \theta \leq 1.38 \text { radians }
$$

## 2. Strong decays of $D_{s 1}(2700)^{ \pm}$and $D_{s, l}^{*}(2860)^{ \pm}$

The decay width for a process $A \rightarrow B C$ is evaluated as follows

- Ling Yuan, Bing Chen and Ailin Zhang, arXiv:1203.0370

$$
\Gamma=\pi^{2} \frac{|\vec{K}|}{M_{A}^{2}} \sum_{J L}\left|M^{J L}\right|^{2}
$$

Relevant simple harmonic oscillator (SHO) wave functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{n L M_{L}}= & \frac{1}{\beta^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left[\frac{2^{l+2-n}(2 l+2 n+1)!!}{\sqrt{\pi} n![(2 l+1)!!]^{2}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \times\left(\frac{k}{\beta}\right)^{l} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{k}{\beta}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& \times F\left(-n, l+3 / 2,\left(\frac{k}{\beta}\right)^{2}\right) Y_{L M_{L}}\left(\Omega_{p}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

| Mode | $D^{\star} K$ | $D K$ | $D_{s}^{\star} \eta$ | $D_{s} \eta$ | $D K^{\star}$ | $D_{s} \omega$ | $\Gamma_{\text {totall }} \Gamma\left(D^{\star} K\right) / \Gamma(D K)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $D_{s 1}(2700)\left[2^{3} S_{1}\right]$ | 41.4 | 9.4 | 2.0 | 2.0 | - | - | 54.8 | 4.4 |
| $D_{s 1}(2700)\left[1^{3} D_{1}\right]$ | 39.1 | 93.8 | 2.0 | 16.7 | - | - | 151.6 | 0.42 |
| $D_{s J}(2860)\left[1^{3} D_{3}\right]$ | 22.7 | 32.8 | 0.7 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 0.1 | 60.3 | 0.69 |

## Mixing states

The way of choice of $\beta$ plays an important role in the interpretation of these two states

## Decay widths and branching fraction ratio


$D_{s 1}^{\star}(2700)^{ \pm}$

$$
-88^{\circ} \leq \theta \leq-76^{\circ} .
$$

## Decay widths and branching fraction ratio



$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{s, J}^{\star}(2860)^{ \pm} \\
& -80^{\circ} \leq \theta \leq-73^{\circ} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Decay widths and branching fraction ratio



## Decay widths and branching fraction ratio



## 3. Conclusions and discussions

There are some uncertainties within the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}$ model, the way of choice of $\beta$ plays an important role in the interpretation of these two states

The interpretations of $D_{s 1}(2700)^{ \pm}$and $D_{s J}^{*}(2860)^{ \pm}$are the same for different ways of choices of $\beta$ when there is no mixing

If mixing between the two higher excited $1^{-}$states exist, $D_{s 1}(2700)^{ \pm}$and $D_{s J}^{*}(2860)^{ \pm}$could be interpreted as the two orthogonal mixed states with mixing angle $\boldsymbol{\theta} \approx-80^{\circ}$ in the case of a special $\beta$ for each meson.

However, in the case of a universal $\beta$ for all mesons, $D_{s 1}(2700)^{ \pm}$could be interpreted as the mixed state of $2^{3} S_{1}$ and $1^{3} D_{1}$ with mixing angle $12^{\circ}<\theta<21^{\circ}$ but $D_{s,}^{*}(2860)^{ \pm}$seems difficult to be interpreted as the orthogonal partner of $D_{s 1}(2700)^{ \pm}$

Be careful to draw conclusions within the ${ }^{3} P_{0}$ model

More experiment on other branching ratio is required $D_{s}^{*} \eta$, $D_{s} \eta$

Study in other models on strong decays for cross check

Study of other kinds of decays

Other analyses

## Thanks!

